#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S): Martin BERG et al ATTY.: DOCKET NO.: P05,0458

SERIAL NO.: 10/565,250 CONFIRMATION NO.: 7788

FILED: January 19, 2006 GROUP ART UNIT: Not Assigned

INVENTION: DEVICE AND METHOD FOR ELECTROPHORETIC LIQUID

DEVELOPMENT

#### MAIL STOP PCT

Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

#### SUBMITTAL OF ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

SIR:

Enclosed herewith is an English translation of the International Preliminary Examination Report to be entered in the file.

Respectfully submitted,

Brett A. Valiquet

**SCHIFF HARDIN LLP -** Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower - 233 South Wacker Drive

(Reg. No. 27,841)

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 258-5786

Attorneys for Applicant CUSTOMER NO. 26574

CH1\ 4945476.1

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

#### PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

То		
	SCHAUMBURG, Karl-Heinz Schaumburg Thomes in the Landskron NGEN Postfach 86 07 48 ENGEN JOHN NGEN 81634 München ALLEMAGNE 04, April 2007	A line of the state of the stat
	[Erled	

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29 March 2007 (29.03.2007)	Erled
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003-0704 P	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT/EP2004/008530	International filing date (day/month/year) 29 July 2004 (29.07.2004)
Applicant OCÉ F	RINTING SYSTEMS GMBH et al

ι.	Transmittal	of the	translation	to	the applicant.
----	-------------	--------	-------------	----	----------------

]	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report of patentability (Chapter I).
---	---

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

#### 2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

KR

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/338 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Annlianne	's or agent's file referen			
1	3-0704 P		HER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
Internatio	nal application No.	International fi	ling date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/	'EP2004/008	530 29.07.	2004	29.07.2003
Internation	nal Patent Classification	(IPC) or national classification	on and IPC	
				3G15/00, B41F31/02
Applicant				
OCE	PRINTING S	YSTEMS GMBH		
1. 1	This report is the interr under Article 35 and tra	national preliminary examinat usmitted to the applicant accor	ion report, established by this rding to Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. 1	This REPORT consists	of a total of 11	sheets, includi	ing this cover sheet.
3.	This report is also accor	npanied by ANNEXES, comp		
	<del>5 2</del> 1		-	
	(00777-0-777-0-7	applicant and to the Internatio	***************************************	sheets, as follows:
	sheets sheets Instruc	containing rectifications autho	or drawings which have been brized by this Authority (see R	amended and are the basis for this report and/or tule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
	the dis	which supersede earlier sheet closure in the international ap	s, but which this Authority copplication as filed, as indicate	nsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond d in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
	Box.			
ł	b. sent to the l	nternational Bureau only) a to	tal of (indicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))
				. containing a sequence listing and/or tables
	related thereto Section 802 of	, in computer readable form of the Administrative Instruction	only, as indicated in the Supplies).	emental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4. T	This report contains indi	cations relating to the following	ng items:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion	n with regard to novelty, inven	itive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Aucitations and explanations su		elty, inventive step or industrial applicability:
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the interna	tional application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the i	nternational application	
Date of sub	bmission of the demand		Date of completion of the	n's report
			Date of companion of a	notepote
Name and	mailing address of the I	DE A ÆD	Authorized officer	
OHE AHO	manning address of the 1	L KAFU LIE	MURITING OTHER	
Facsimile 1	simile No. Telephone No.			

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008530

Во	v No. I		Basis of the report	1	
1.	Witl	n regard cated un	to the language, this report is based on the internation	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		which	eport is based on translations from the original langua is the language of a translation furnished for the purp international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (Rule 12.4 international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	oses of:	
2.	recei	iving Of report):	to the elements of the international application, this fice in response to an invitation under Article 14 are	report is based on (replacement e referred to in this report as "a	sheets which have been furnished to the originally filed" and are not annexed to
	$\boxtimes$		ernational application as originally filed/furnished		
		pages	1-28		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*		received by this Authority on	
		pages*		received by this Authority on	
	$\boxtimes$	the cla	ims:		
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	1-121		r with any statement) under Article 19 05.11.2005 with letter
		nos.*			
	$\square$	,		received by this Authority on	
		the dra			
		sheets	1/5-5/5		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*			**************************************
		sheets*			
		a seque	nce listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppleme	ntal Box Relating to Sequence Li	sting.
3.		The am	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			ne description, pages		
		L ti	ne claims, nos.		1
		t1	ne drawings, sheets/figs		·
		<u> </u>	ne sequence listing (specify):		
		L a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	$\boxtimes$	This rep	port has been established as if (some of) the amenda we been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	nents annexed to this report and ed, as indicated in the Supplement	listed below had not been made, since al Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		LL th	e description, pages		
		<u> </u>	e claims, nos. 39,72		
		th	e drawings, sheets/figs		
			e sequence listing (specify):		
			ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	lf iten		ies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008530

Во	x No. I	rv	Lack of unity of invention
1.	$\boxtimes$	Inrespo	ense to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
		re	stricted the claims.
		D pa	sid additional fees.
		pa	uid additional fees under protest.
		ne	ither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2		This Au the appl	thority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite icant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority complies	considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:  d with.
		not com	plied with for the following reasons:
		The	international application contains a plurality of
		inve	entions or groups of invention which are not linked
		by a	single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1),
		as f	follows:
		(i)	claims 1-38: conveying device for liquid
			developer;
		(ii)	claims 38-71: modular printing device;
		(iii	) claims 72-111: electrographic printing device
			with variable image carrier speed.
4.	$\nabla Z$		this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
		all parts.	
•••••	Ш	the parts	relating to claims Nos.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008530

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty	(N)	Claims	1-111	YES
		Claims		NO
Inventiv	e step (IS)	Ciaims	1-111	YES
		Claims		NO
Industria	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-111	YES
		Claims		_ NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

## Observations relating to the first invention (i), claim 1

Claim 1 defines a conveying device for liquid developer that contains charged toner particles, characterised in that electrical voltage is applied between the engraved roller 202 and the developer roller 203 for the targeted transfer of the toner particles to the developer roller.

Metering onto the engraved roller is achieved by means of a chambered doctor blade 201, which is arranged and can be operated such that the liquid developer flows completely around the metering blade (R2).

The use of liquid developer leads to problems in metering and regarding the optimum transfer of a sufficient quantity of developer. Bubble-free conveying of the developer from a chambered doctor blade via an engraved roller to a developer roller must, for example, be ensured in order to provide a constantly sufficient quantity of developer fluid. If the liquid developer contains charged

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008530

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

toner particles, as in the present application, conveying and metering is even more difficult.

Problems of that type are not known in the prior art in D1 (WO-01/92968 A2).

D1 mentions only an engraved roller with a chambered doctor blade, which conveys liquid developer with non-charged toner particles.

Document D2 (EP-1 090 756 A1) discloses an adjustable chambered doctor blade for optimum filling of the cups of an engraved roller with ink. It is not, however, certain, whether that doctor blade is suitable for liquid developer with charged toner particles.

The subject matter of **claim 1** is therefore considered novel and inventive in view of the available prior art (**PCT Article 33(2) and (3)**).

# Observations relating to the second invention (ii), claim 39

Claim 39 defines a modular printing device that is made up of "independently operable" printing couples. This technical feature can be interpreted to mean that such a printing couple can be used completely independently of the central control unit and of all other printing couples. Such independently or autonomously operable printing couples are not disclosed in the originally submitted description. What are

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008530

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

disclosed is simply printing couples each with a printing couple drive for carrying out process control in conjunction with a central control unit (see page 18, line 34 to page 19, line 6 and page 22, lines 23 to 32 of the description).

Claim 39 also indicates that the individual printing couples and the feed mechanism for the printing stock are each provided with a drive unit for controlling the printing and conveying operations, said drive units being connected to a central control unit in order to synchronise all operations.

Modular printing devices are known from the prior art: D3 (US-A-6219516), D4 (US-A-5258809) and D8 (GB-A-2023503). Those documents disclose printing couples which can be modularly combined to produce a printing system. The printing stock is conveyed by a printing stock feed mechanism that is common to all the printing couples. The known modular printing devices, however, are all controlled by a central drive unit.

There is nothing in the available prior art to suggest transferring the driving of the individual printing couples and of the printing stock feed mechanism from the central control unit to the modules and to leave the synchronisation of all operations to the central unit, resulting in greater flexibility when adapting the printing system to different requirements.

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

As indicated above, claim 39 contravenes PCT Article 19(2). It is therefore proposed to delete the feature "independently operable" from line 5 of claim 39. The subject matter of claim 39 is considered novel and inventive in view of the available prior art and meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and (3).

Observations relating to the third invention (iii), claim 72

Claim 72 contains as a technical feature a "discharging illumination", which can be adapted to the speed of the image carrier element. That technical feature, or a "discharging intensity", is not disclosed in the original application.

Page 8, lines 13-15 and 30 and 31 define only charging and illumination intensities which can be appropriately varied. Page 17, line 4 refers only generally to a charging station 112.

Claim 72 defines an electrographic printing device in which the speed of the image carrier can be continuously varied from a bottom speed (0) to a top limit speed, it being possible to adapt the illumination intensity and to keep the supply of toner to the image carrier element at a surface constant. These technical features thus interact so as to achieve a consistent print quality in as large a print speed range as possible.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Document D9 (US-A-4411514), however, discloses only that the speed of the image carrier can be continuously adjusted according to the chosen rate of increase and that charging, developer voltage and the illumination of the image carrier are altered in line with the set speed. There is nothing in D9 to indicate that the supply of toner to the image carrier element is kept at a surface constant, as is necessary, in particular, in the high-speed range.

Claim 72 contravenes PCT Article 19(2), since, as already mentioned, "discharging illumination" or an equivalent feature was not originally disclosed. This technical feature should therefore be deleted. The subject matter of claim 72 is considered novel and inventive in view of the available prior art and meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and (3).

#### Further observations on the claims

Since claims 2-28 are dependent on claim 1, claims 40-71 on claim 39 and claims 73-111 on claim 72, the subject matter thereof is automatically novel and inventive (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)).

The subject matter of claims 1-111 is industrially applicable (PCT Article 33(4)).

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The application contains the following defects relating to clarity and support by the description (PCT Article 6):

- claims 12-14 appear to be superfluous, since they define both synchronous and asynchronous directions of movement. There are no other possibilities;
- claims 25 and 100 define <u>inlet</u> apertures. Are these also suitable for the <u>discharge</u> of the liquid developer?
- in claims 47 and 80 an attempt is made to define the subject matter (printing device) in terms of the result to be achieved (toner deposition independent of the speed), without specifying the technical features needed for that purpose;
- what should be understood by <u>electrically</u>
  <u>adjustable</u> in **claim 48**? What is adjusted is undefined;
- since the originally submitted claims 69 and 73 have been deleted, claims 70-111 should be re-numbered;
- claim 72 defines a bottom image carrier speed of 0. It is not clear whether at this speed image generation and printing is at all possible. By what technical means is the speed of the image carrier varied? The claim should therefore also define the means which

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

make it possible to <u>continuously</u> vary the speed of the image carrier. This would appear to be essential for a person skilled in the art to be able to implement the invention;

claim 74: by what means is the electronic character generation adapted?
claims 78 and 79 define a device in terms of a method feature, that is in terms of coupling by way of control processes and in terms of a "principle", and not in terms of

claim 86: by what technical means is the
movability of the toner particles in the
developer gap influenced?

#### Concluding observations

technical features;

Should the applicant wish to pursue the application in the <u>regional phase</u> (**EP**), then the following points should be addressed at that stage:

- on page 1, lines 31 and 32 of the description, the wording "are part of the disclosure of the present application" should be deleted;
- the description should possibly be restricted in view of the objections specified in Box IV with regard to unity of invention;
- the lack of clarity in the claims should be remedied;

International application No.

INTE	NATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY	PCT/EP2004/008530				
ox No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
****	- prior art documents D1-D4 and	d D8 and D9,				
	listed in the search report,					
	mentioned and briefly discuss					
	description;					
	<ul> <li>any independent claim should</li> </ul>	preferably be				
	drafted in the two-part form					
	the closest prior art.					